Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—If the application for license is rejected, provision has been made in the rules for preferring an appeal against the order making the rejection.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Sri V. N. Patil is authorised to put Question No. 421.

Lay-off in the Madras Sappers Ex-Servicemen's Association

*Q.—421. Sri V. N. PATIL (Homnabad) [on behalf of Sri M. S. Krishnan (Malleswaram)].—

Will the Minister for Home and Labour be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether they are aware of the retrenchment and further lay-off of employees of the Madras Sappers Ex-Servicemen's Association, Bangalore ;

(*b*) what steps they have taken in order to lift the lay-off and take back all workers ?

A.—Sri M. V. RAMA RAO (Minister for Home and Labour).—

(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) The dispute in respect of retrenchment of employees was taken up in conciliation and after it ended in failure Government have referred the dispute for adjudication to the Additional Industrial Tribunal, Bangalore.

Sri V. N. PATIL.—May I know whether the Government used its good offices to settle the matter amicably ?

† Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—The Government has used its good offices to settle the dispute and is always ready to use it. The parties have failed to make use of the good offices of the Government.

Sri V. N. PATIL.—May I know which among the parties has failed to make use of the good offices ?

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—It depends upon the result of the conciliation proceedings which have ended in failure. The matter is already referred to adjudication.

Vehicles Possessed by Different Departments of Government

*Q.—432. Sri C. K. RAJAIAH SHETTY (Chikkanayakanahalli).—

Will the Minister for Finance and Planning be pleased to state :—

(*a*) the number of jeeps, cars and vans possessed by different departments of Government;

(*b*) the expenditure incurred on these vehicles in the years 1964-65, 1965-66 and 1966-67 for maintenance and repairs (yearwise breakup may be given) ;

(*c*) the present book value as it stands on 31st March 1967 ?

A.—Sri H. R. ABDUL GAFFAR (Deputy Minister for Finance).—

(*a*)—

Jeeps	...	590
Cars	...	46
Vans	...	415
Stn. wagons	...	43
Buses	...	6
Lorries	...	15
Motor Cycles	...	103
Land Rover	...	1
Cargo Carrier	...	1

(*b*) *Maintenance**. *Repairs.*

1964-65	1965-66	1966-67	1964-65	1965-66
17,07,052-69	24,95,738-75	32,43,830-73	3,29,357-53	3,85,804-08

1966-67

7,77,977-92

*Maintenance includes petrol and oil charges. Some departments have included establishment charges on drivers, etc. Hence maintenance charges appear to be more than repair charges. Some have not indicated repair charges but only maintenance charges.

(*c*) Rs. 65,95,224-79.

Several of the vehicles have depreciated completely and hence in their case the value is taken as Re. 1 by the Department. Some departments have not indicated the present value. The information therefore includes whatever value is furnished by Departments.

N.B.—Information is still awaited from some departments including C.E. (General), C.E. (C and B).

Sir with your permission, I am bringing to the notice of the House that the latest information is still awaited from the Department.

Mr. SPEAKER.—This question was sent during April 1967.

† Sri H. R. ABDUL GAFFAR.—It is a very complicated subject.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Two months are more than sufficient

Sri H. R. ABDUL GAFFAR.—The information is :

Jeeps against 590 given in the printed reply, it is 1,108.

Cars	...	52 as against	46
Vans	...	443 as against	415
Stn. wagons	...	45 as against	43
Buses	...	Same number	
Lorries	...	17 as against	15
Motor Cycles	...	Same number	
Land Rover	...	Same number	
Cargo Carrier	...	Same number	

Maintenance : *1964-65*

38.55 lakhs as against 17 lakhs.

1965-66

51.02 lakhs as against 24 lakhs.

1966-67

63.21 lakhs as against 32.4 lakhs.

Repairs remain the same and then answer to (c), it is 114.43 lakhs as against 65,95,224.79.

Sri C. K. RAJAIAH SHETTY.—In addition to expenses, you have shown, is it not a fact that you are incurring depreciation charge of nearly a crore of rupees ?

Sri H. R. ABDUL GAFFAR.—I cannot say that.

Sri C. K. RAJAIAH SHETTY.—Is it not a fact that most of the Assistant Engineers and the B.D.O's are learning driving and thereby jeeps are spoiled and lot of maintenance charges are incurred ?

Sri H. R. ABDUL GAFFAR.—I do not agree with that.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—The Hon'ble Minister just now gave us correct figures. May we know which are all the Departments that did not furnish you figures in time and what are the figures of the Departments which you have additionally given today ?

Sri H. R. ABDUL GAFFAR.—In the answer it is given as a note that information is still awaited.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Is it not a fact that you received some replies from certain Departments after this was printed and then you have corrected the figures ? If so, what are the Departments that have subsequently furnished you figures after these replies are printed ?

Sri H. R. ABDUL GAFFAR.—They are (1) Director of Sericulture Bangalore; (2) Deputy Commissioner, Bellary; (3) Chemical Engineering Section; (4) Commissioner for Transport; (5) Chief Conservator of Forests; (6) Joint Registrar of Co-operative Societies, Bangalore; (7) Chief Engineer, I.P.; (8) Chief Engineer, General.

Sri M. RAMAPPA.—In view of what the Deputy Minister explained, will the Government serioulsy think of abolishing all the Government vehicles?

(no reply)

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲಗೌಡ.—ತಾವು ಕೊಟ್ಟ ಕಾರುಗಳ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ರೆಜಿಸ್ಟ್ರೇಚರ್ಸ್ ಹೋಂಗಳ ಕಾರುಗಳ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಸೇರಿವೆಯೇ? 15 ಲಾರಿಗಳು ಎಂದು ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಇವು ಯಾವ ಇಲಾಖೆಗೆ ಸೇರಿವೆ? ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಡಿಪಾರ್ಟ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್‌ಗಳಿಗೂ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ನಿಮ್ಮ ತಪ್ಪಿಗೆ ಏನು ಇದೆ ಅದನ್ನು ಸಭೆಯ ಮುಂದೆ ಇಡುತ್ತೀರಾ?

Sri H. R. ABDUL GAFFAR.—If a separate question is put, I am prepared to place the information though I have got the information.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಎಂ. ಎಸ್. ಶಾಸ್ತ್ರಿ.—ವಿವಿಧ ಇಲಾಖೆಗಳಿಂದ ಬಂದಿರತಕ್ಕ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ದೆಯೇ ಎನ್ನತಕ್ಕದ್ದನ್ನು ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾ ಇದ್ದೇನೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಆರ್. ಅಬ್ದುರ್ ಗಫಾರ್.—ಇದೆ ಎಂದು ಜವಾಬು ಕೊಡುತ್ತೇನೆ.

Sri C. K. RAJAIAH SHETTY.—Sir, sometime ago, Government had a policy to give car allowance to all the gazetted officers and thereby there was a lot of savings to the Government. After the Government started purchasing all these vehicles, a lot of unnecessary expenditure and loss is being sustained. Do Government consider to follow the old policy as before?

Sri H. R. ABDUL GAFFAR.—There is nothing like new policy or old policy.

Sri C. K. RAJAIAH SHETTY.—Previously there was the practice of gazetted officers using their own cars and they were getting car allowance. Now Government is providing vehicles and Government is incurring a loss of Rupees two crores. Do the Government consider the question of reviving the old practice of asking the officers to use their own cars and draw conveyance allowance?

Sri H. R. ABDUL GAFFAR.—It is a suggestion for consideration.

Mr. SPEAKER.—For serious consideration.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲಗೌಡ.—ತಾವು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರತಕ್ಕ ಕಾರುಗಳ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ರೆಜಿಸ್ಟ್ರೇಟರ್ಸ್ ಹೋಮಿನ ಕಾರುಗಳೂ ಸೇರಿವೆಯೇ, ಆಮೇಲೆ 15 ಲಾರಿಗಳು ಎಂದು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೀರಿ ಅವು ಯಾವುವು, ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಇಲಾಖೆಗಳಿಗೂ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಪಟ್ಟಿಯನ್ನು ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಮುಂದೆ ಇಡಬೇಕು. ಅದು ಈಗ ಆಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ ವಿಚಾರ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇವೆ ಎಂದರೆ ಅದು ವಿಚಾರ ಮಾಡುವ ವಿಷಯವಲ್ಲ. ಅದನ್ನು ಸಭೆಯ ಮುಂದೆ ಇಡಬೇಕು. ಇಲ್ಲದಿದ್ದರೆ ಅದು ಕ್ರಿಯಾಲೋಪವಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಈ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು ರೂಲಿಂಗ್ ಕೊಡಬೇಕು.

Mr. SPEAKER.—A point of order has been raised by the Hon'ble Member Sri Gopala Gowda that in a supplementary question that he asked whether Government will be pleased to place the list prepared in answer to the question on the Table of the House, the Minister said

(MR. SPEAKER)

that he will consider. The Hon'ble Member thinks that the House has got a right to have it placed on the Table of the House, therefore, it is necessary to raise a point of order.

I do not know what exactly is in his mind. Government has not refused. Even if Government refuses, I have already said on several occasions that during Question Hour I cannot compel the Government to do things in a particular manner. It is certainly open to the Members to take such steps as they like. I do not think there is any point of order in this.

2-00 P. M.

Sri B. P. GANGADHAR.—A very important question, Sir. Has the Government contemplated to purchase the jeeps that the Congress organisation made use of, during the last Elections, for Departmental purposes ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—How does it arise ? The member may put a separate question and not bring it under Supplementary or subsidiary question.

The Question Hour is over. Next, Short Notice Question No. 124.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Mine is Item No. (ii) in the List of Business. It is an ordinary question and it goes along with the other questions in the Question Hour. There is nothing special in it. It is a separate item.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Is it a question or not ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—It is an item that is separately listed ; and that is separately taken as Item No. (ii) because item No. (i) is the only item that was listed up to 1 P. M.

Mr. SPEAKER.—What is the basis for that ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Because it is held over, it is separately listed as Item No. (ii). Otherwise, it would have come after Item No. (iii). Therefore, I request you to allow me to put the question.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Sri Siddaveerappa has mentioned to the House that his Question, which is Question No. 307 in List No. 26, is put as Item No. (ii) and, therefore, inasmuch as it is a question held over, he is entitled to put that question. The point is that under the Rules, the first one hour is to be devoted to the Starred Questions or Oral Questions for Answers. That it is listed as item (i), (ii), (iii) or in any other way, does not change the method of computing the importance or otherwise. Held over Questions are not lapsing for the first time in this method. They have happened on prior occasions. I do not think there is any rule which entitles the hon'ble Member Mr. Siddaveerappa to say that because it is a held over Question, it goes outside the rule which allots only the first hour to Questions. If I allow that, the other questions equally will have to be taken up.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—That I do not know. So far as this is concerned, we are governed by rules. So far as the other questions are concerned, there is a specific rule.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Let him please point out the rules and then he can raise it later.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—I will do it. I have got it.

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—Yesterday when Mr. Siddaveerappa's question and the other standing in the name of Mr. Banakar were held over, I suggested that they should be taken up yesterday itself. Yesterday itself I expressed the desire that those questions should not be held over. You gave a positive promise.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Those are from the 26th List.

Sri S. SIVAPPA.—Yes. You promised that they will be taken up today.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Please bear with me for a few seconds, Sir. I have the inherent right to get that answer. It was listed because the hon. Minister was not prepared with the answer ; he was not prepared to give it in full. So, it was specifically stated that it may be held over to furnish the necessary particulars. Those particulars are furnished and placed on the table of the House—the agreement that is entered between MISW and the contractors company. In view of this, for want of time, this question cannot be given a go-bye and even if what you say is correct, in the interest of public morality and Justice, this question should be allowed to be answered and I may be continued to get oral answers for my supplementaries.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Any rule ?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—So far as rule is concerned, one hour rule is, as you said, for the questions that are listed for the particular day and not for any other day. This question was listed for an earlier day and not for today. I distinguish it this way ; today's list is 33rd and my question was in 26th list.

Mr. SPEAKER.—If there is anything new, let him tell me.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—This question should not be allowed to lapse for want of time ; I should be given an opportunity. Even if there is a rule, you have an inherent power to see that an opportunity is given to me to put my supplementaries.

Mr. SPEAKER.—I will think over it ; but I cannot allow it at the moment.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—May I take it, it is an open question.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The hon'ble member can understand what I am saying. Otherwise, I would have said I would 'reject his request' I have not said so. I have said : 'I will consider'. If he does not want me to consider, I have no objection to give it now alone. Let there no be discussion about it.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—You have full powers. We, on this side must feel that justice is done.

Mr. SPEAKER.—There is no 'this side' or 'that side'.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—What is the purpose of our giving questions, Sir?

Mr. SPEAKER.—Is he examining or cross-examining me?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—No. I am only saying that this question was held over only to elicit reply from the Hon'ble Minister. It is in the 26th list and not 33rd list.

Mr. SPEAKER.—He is repeating.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Some times repetition will pay.

Mr. SPEAKER.—After all, I do not understand. I was pained to hear Sri Sivappa mixing up with something else. It was not said yesterday. Did I give any undertaking that all rules will be abrogated? What is the contribution made to the clarification?

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—When a question is held over, it is for a valid reason and because it is an important question, it was held over. The position is, at least tomorrow, you may give this as the first question and kindly take my question tomorrow.

Mr. SPEAKER.—That is what I said, The Hon'ble Member do not have the patience to wait.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಜಿ. ಬಣಕಾರ್.—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ನಾನು ಒಂದು ಅಲ್ಪ ಕಾಲಾವಧಿ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಕಳಿಸಿದ್ದೆ, ಅದು ಏನಾಯಿತು?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲಗೌಡ.—ನಾನು ಒಂದು ಶಾರ್ಟ್ ನೋಟೀಸ್ ಕ್ವಶ್ಚನ್ ಕಳಿಸಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಅದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಚರ್ಚೆ ಮಾಡೋಣವೇ?

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಅದನ್ನು ಬರೆದು ಕೊಡಿ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲಗೌಡ.—ನಾನು ಬರೆದು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದು ಆಯಿತು. ಶಾರ್ಟ್ ನೋಟಸ್ ಕ್ವಶ್ಚನ್ ಆಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಅದನ್ನು ಸ್ಟಾರ್ಡ್ ಕ್ವಶ್ಚನ್ ಮಾಡಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದು ಆಯಿತು. ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಪುನಃ ನಾನು ಕಾಗದ ಬರೆದು ಅದನ್ನು ಶಾರ್ಟ್ ನೋಟಿಸ್ ಕ್ವಶ್ಚನ್ ಎಂದು ಟ್ರೀಟ್ ಮಾಡಬೇಕು ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದೆ.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಇದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಚರ್ಚೆಯಾಗಬೇಕೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲಗೌಡ.—ಶಾರ್ಟ್ ನೋಟೀಸ್ ಕ್ವಶ್ಚನ್ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಇರತಕ್ಕ ರೂಲ್ 48 ದುರುಪಯೋಗವಾಗುವ ಹಾಗೆ ಕಾಣುತ್ತದೆ. ಸರಕಾರ ತನ್ನ ಅನುಕೂಲವಾಗುವ ರೀತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಉಪಯೋಗಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವಂತೆ ಆಗಿದೆ.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ಅಲ್ಪಕಾಲಾವಧಿ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸರಕಾರ ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಳ್ಳದೇ ಇದ್ದರೆ ಅದರ ಮೇಲೆ ಚರ್ಚೆಯಾಗುತ್ತದೆಯೇ? ಇದರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ನಿಮಗೆ ಹಕ್ಕು ಇದೆ ಎಂದು ಸ್ಥಾಪನೆ ಮಾಡಿ ನಂತರ ಬೇಕಾದರೆ ಚರ್ಚೆ ಮಾಡಿ. ಅಲ್ಪಕಾಲಾವಧಿ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗೆ ಈಗಲೇ ಉತ್ತರ ಸರಕಾರ ಕೊಡಬೇಕು ಎಂದು ರೂಲ್ಸ್ ಮಾಡಿದರೆ ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ನನ್ನ ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಣೆ ಇಲ್ಲ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲಗೌಡ.—ಇಂಥ ತಿದ್ದುಪಡಿ ಮಾಡಬೇಕು.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—'ಶಾರ್ಟ್ ನೋಟೀಸ್' ಇದರ ಅರ್ಥ ನಿಮಗೆ ಗೊತ್ತಿದೆಯೇ? ರೂಲ್ಸ್ ಓದಿ ನೋಡಿ. ಸರಕಾರ ಒಪ್ಪಿದರೆ ಮಾತ್ರ ಶಾರ್ಟ್ ನೋಟಿಸ್ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಬರುತ್ತದೆ. ನೀವು ಅವರ ಹತ್ತಿರ ಹೋಗಿ ಕೇಳಿದ್ದೀರಾ? ಇಲ್ಲದಿದ್ದರೆ ರೂಲ್ಸ್ ಬದಲಾವಣೆ ಮಾಡಿ; ಇಲ್ಲವಾದರೆ ನಿಮಗೆ ಇಷ್ಟ ಬಂದಂತೆ ಮಾಡಿ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲಗೌಡ.—ನಾನು ಸರಕಾರವನ್ನು ಕೇಳಬೇಕು, ಅವರ ಹತ್ತಿರ ಹೋಗ ಬೇಕು ಎನ್ನುವುದು ಗೊತ್ತಾದುದು ಈಗಲೇ.

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.—ನೀವು ರೂಲ್ಸ್ ಓದದೇ ಇದ್ದರೆ ನಾನು ಏನು ಮಾಡಲಕ್ಕೆ ಬರುತ್ತದೆ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ಜಿ. ಬಣಕಾರ.—ನಾನು ಒಂದು ಶಾರ್ಟ್ ನೋಟೀಸ್ ಕ್ವಶ್ಚನ್ ಕಳಿಸಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಇನ್ನೂ ಬಂದಿಲ್ಲ.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Let him please give me the reference. I cannot carry his questions in my mind. Is it half an hour discussion or short notice question? Let him give me a copy of it? Without giving me a copy, how can I remember? He came to me and met me. I have asked him to meet the Secretary. He did not go to him.

Sri M. NAGAPPA.—Sir, before you take up the short notice question I want to know what happened to my question. It is not for the fault of mine that the question has lapsed; the Minister was not there and it was not answered.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Kindly read the rules.

Sri M. NAGAPPA.—If necessary, may I request the Hon'ble Speaker to enforce the ruling you have given on 13th December 1963? The Minister was not there and a warning was given. May I read it?

Mr. SPEAKER.—Not now. Now I call upon the Hon'ble Member Sri Sadashiv Patil to put his Short Notice Question.

SHORT NOTICE QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS.

Procedure for the Sale of Country Arrack.

Q.—124. Sri SADASHIVAPPA PATIL (Deodurg).—

Will the Minister for Finance and Planning be pleased to state:—

(*a*) the procedure followed in the year 1964-65 for calling auction sale of country arrack and whether it was on talukwise basis;

(*b*) whether it was changed as districtwise basis next year;

(*c*) what was the method adopted in the year 1966-67?

A.—Sri H. R. ABDUL GAFFAR (Deputy Minister for Finance).—

(*a*) Tender-*cum*-Auction. Shopwise, groupwise, talukwise and districtwise in the districts of Bangalore (Urban) and Bangalore (Rural) except Channapatna and Ramanagaram taluks and groupwise, talukwise and districtwise in the Districts of Raichur and Gulbarga.

(*b*) There was no change.

(*c*) Tender-*cum*-auction as in the year 1964-65.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸದಾಶಿವಪ್ಪ ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು ಜಿಲ್ಲೆಯಲ್ಲಿ 64-65 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಗ್ರೂಪ್‌ವೈಜ್ ಷಾಪ್ ವೈಜ್ ವಗೈರೆ ಮಾಡಿ 66-67 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಡಿವಿಜನ್‌ವೈಜ್ ಮಾಡಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಎಷ್ಟು ರಾಭ ಬಂದಿದೆ? ಮೊದಲಿದ್ದ ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿ ಕಡಿಮೆಮಾಡಿದೆಯೇ?

† ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಆರ್. ಅಬ್ದುಲ್ ಗಫಾರ್.—ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಪ್ರತ್ಯೇಕ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಹಾಕಿದರೆ ಉತ್ತರ ಕೊಡ ಬಹುದು.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸದಾಶಿವಪ್ಪ ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ರಾಯಚೂರು ಮತ್ತು ಗುಲ್ಬರ್ಗಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಎಷ್ಟು ಆದಾಯ ಬಂದಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳುತ್ತೀರಾ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಆರ್. ಅಬ್ದುಲ್ ಗಫಾರ್.—ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ಬೇರೆ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆ ಹಾಕಬೇಕೆಂದು ಆಗಲೇ ಹೇಳಿದೆ.